

ORIGINAL

## ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION



0000136776

## UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Carmen MadridPhone:Fax:

2012 MAY 11 P 3:34

Priority: Respond Within Five DaysOpinion No. 2012 103667

DOCKET CONTROL

Date: 5/10/2012Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed  
N/A Not ApplicableFirst:Last:Complaint By: George

Naanes

Account Name: George & Cynthia NaanesHome: (000) 000-0000Street:Work: (000) 000-0000City: TucsonCBR:State: AZ Zip: 85748is: E-MailUtility Company: Tucson Electric Power CompanyDivision: ElectricContact Name:Contact Phone:Nature of Complaint:

From: George E. Naanes, ACFEI, DABFA, DSBFE, CFE (ret) [mailto:  
Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2012 11:30 AM  
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox  
Cc: Kennedy-Web; Newman-Web; Burns-Web; Pierce-Web; Stump-Web  
Subject: TEP SURCHARGE

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 11 2012

DOCKETED BY

LM

Dear Commission:

We are against a large increase being proposed by TEP as a "Surcharge" on all its customers in Southern Arizona. The cost of covering incentives for users to use more energy efficient pool pumps or energy saving devices should be a part of the Utilities general operating expenses which is the position of the Arizona Corporate Commission. It is our understanding that the following is being requested for a hearing in July, 2012.

TEP's proposal would boost the existing surcharge for demand-side management programs to more than \$5 per month for the average residential customer, up from about \$1.10 now.

This, we believe is unfair to the consumer to have to pay for another user to become more efficient, use less electricity, lowering that persons bill, and the large majority of customers fund this process. What TEP never mentions that they sell the excess power generated to a grid for which they profit. So, they propose that the consumers pay TEP for a rebate program that only TEP will benefit from and make money from? There is no justifiable reason for TEP to be granted a 500% increase in the current surcharge and what is in reality an increase in the usage rate. This is an unfair increase that only supports the Utility in gaining excess capacity and reduces any need to add power generating equipment or capacity to that they already have. Any reduction in usage is a benefit to TEP and not the consumers who will all be effected by such a huge increase.

We support no increase in the current surcharge.